UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,388	10/06/2005	Shiro Torizuka	2005-0640A	6528
513 7590 07/06/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			DELISLE, ROBERTA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,388	TORIZUKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERTA DELISLE	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination in the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a subject to be a subj	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a limited copies. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/09 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Claim Status:
 - a. Claim 1 Currently Amended
- 3. **Prior Art References:**
 - b. Yoshino US 5,419,948
 - c. Saito et al. JP 2002 285278

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshino et al. (US 5,419,948) in view of Saito et al. (JP 2002 285278).

Regarding Claim 1, Yoshino discloses: Reference figures 1-6, for example

(Currently Amended)

A screw or a tapping screw made of steel (Abstract) with [...]

Art Unit: 3677

and a nitride layer (20, 21) in a surface part, wherein the nitride layer in the surface part has a 100 μm or less thickness (Column 2 Lines 56-60, "15 to 30 μm" meets the "or less" limitation), hardness of the nitride layer of the surface part is <u>563</u> 560 or more in Vickers hardness (Column 2 Lines 56-60, "1,250-1,350 Hv" meets the "or more" limitation), [...], and hardness of the core part is <u>199-345</u> 199-450 in Vickers hardness (Column 5 Lines 39-42, "250-260 Hv" is in the range).

Yoshino discloses a screw with a nitride layer but does not disclose an ultra fine structures of ferrite grains being 1 µm or less or 3 µm or less.

Saito teaches:

... an ultra fine structure of ferrite grains having a $\underline{1}$ $\underline{3}$ μm or less average grain size (Paragraph [0005], "1 μm or less") ...

...ferrite in the vicinity of the nitride layer has a 1 µm or less average grain size (Paragraph [0005], "1 µm or less"), ferrite of a core part has a 3 µm or less (Paragraph [0005], "1 µm or less" meets the "or less" limitation) ...

Yoshino discloses a screw with a nitride layer. Saito teaches a high strength steel where the grains of ferrite are 1 μ m or less. These fine crystals improve the strength of the steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yoshino with a material made with ferrite having grain size 1 μ m or less as taught by Saito to improve the strength of the screw.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERTA DELISLE ("Bobbi") whose telephone number is (571) 270-3746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor D. Batson can be reached on (571) 272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor Batson/ Victor D. Batson Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

rsd